MR WEISBERG,

I am writing to you as a 'casual observer' of the JFK assassination. I would like a list of all the books that are still available because I have tried to get book from the U.S. and my supplier could only get hold of 'selections of Whitewash'. I am very interested in the medical evidence, photos etc but can't seem to find much in this area. I asked about your other book 'post mortem' but was told it was out of date. I also have some areas here I would like you to elaborate on for me if you could and write back to me a response in relation to these areas. I hope this doesn't put you to too much trouble but it would be greatly appreciated.

THE SEMMONS FREEWAY SIGN -

The Warren Commission's copy of Zapruder film contained an unexplained splice of 4 frames between Frame 207 and 212. In 1967 Life magazine released the missing frames. There's no visible hole on the back of the sign. But it took them 2 years to produce the photos. Why was sign removed and replaced shortly after assassination? If sign had been hit it may have been visible on 'original' Zapruder film. The bullet holes, if any, would have shown whether Shots came from at least one direction.
Could you give me more information on this problem!

THE DAMAGE TO THE WINDSHIELD -

At Parkland Memorial Hospital on 22, Nov, 63, Richard Dudman, his companion Sgt Ellis, and Officer Freeman all said they saw a hole in the windscreen on Friday night. Secret Service Agent Taylor wrote in his report, 'of particular note was the small hole just left of centre in the windshield.' This report was written after the limousine had been flown to washington and driven to the White house garage and examined by a 5-man team from the FBI Lab. The next week a windshield was removed from the limousine and stored in the whitehouse garage. In March 1964, The Secret Service sent a windshield to the FBI lab, which determined it contained no hole, only damage on the outside surface.

The inside surface, they said, was smooth. But on Nov 27th, shortly before windshield was removed, it was examined by Secret Service Agent Roy Kellerman. He ran his hand over the outside surface, and found it to be smooth, the damage he testified, was on the inside surface. Kellerman believed this indicated bullet struck safety glass from inside. But in fact, in testimony given later by FBI experts, safety glass breaks in opposite fashion. If a bullet does not strike with enough force to pierce windshield, a shot from behind will cause damage to front surface and vice versa. The windshield sent to the FBI lab had damage on the front surface only (evidence of shot from behind). In Feb 72, Bill Ashby, crew leader of Arlington glass company team who removed windshield on Nov, 27, 63, recollected inside surface of windshield being damaged. So Ashby's recollection was corroborated by Kellerman's testimony of the same day.

On Jan 6th 1964 there was a letter written by Secret Service Chief Rowley, transmitting Taylor's report to the Warren Commission There was no mention of a hole in the windshield, and it stated that the outside surface was 'smooth and unbroken'.

So first a windshield with a hole was switched for one with no hole, but with damage to the wrong side. Then a second switch, a windshield with damage or rear (inside) surface was replaced by one damaged on forward (outside) surface.

FBI Supplemental report dated Jan 13, 64, Hoover reported: 'The windshield was cracked'. Chief Rowley's letter to commission Jan 6th, 64, Rowley wrote '.... there is no hole or crack through the windshield'.

Hosty of the FBI wrote a book about the assassination and in it he wrote that sometime around May 1964 he was called to testify before the commission. The day before his testimony he, Belmont, Fain and Quigley were shown the windshield, and that they could see pockmarks on the inside of the windshield. Now surely an FBI agent would know what the FBI experts testified before the commission. What they testified would mean that pockmarks on the inside would constitute a shot or shots from the front. So Hosty inadvertently dropped himself in it by mentioning that in his book.

Could you give me more information on this?

THE ZAPRUDER FILM:

Could the Zapruder film have been doctored. I can't work out why on the film it shows the side of Kennedy's head being blasted away, yet all the Dallas doctors who saw Kennedy said that the back of his head was 'blasted out'. If the back of his head was so badly damaged why did this not show up on the Zapruder film. The movement of Kennedy's head back and to the left constitutes a shot from the front, I'll grant you that, but because there appears to be no damage to the back of his head on film, I must express concern about it's validity.
Could you give me some information on this?

THE SHOTS FROM BEHIND

David Lifton in his book 'Best Evidence' put forward notion that there may have been no shots from behind, that all of them came from the front. He showed some good evidence that there 'may' have been pre-autopsy surgery. The secret Service had supposedly found the bullet fragments in the limousine which matched the rifle, they also had the notorious bullet 399 in their possession after it was found by Tomlinson. They also had possession of Kennedy's clothes, which could ~~had~~ have had holes put in them to constitute shots from behind even though there may not have been. As soon as the photos were taken they were put in Secret Service's possession to be developed. The guy who got the ~~photos~~ x-rays developed said that he saw Jacqueline Kennedy when he was on his way to get them developed. Strong evidence to suggest That Kennedy's body was at Bethesda before his wife got there. Possibility that the back wounds were constructed after event. Dallas doctors saw no back wound, no small entrance wound in head, and no 5 1/3" massive hole in side of head. That would constitute a conspiracy to falsify the body to implicate shots from behind and therefore Oswald. Considering Kennedy's body, that sounds reasonable, but we also have to take Connally's wounds into account before we can totally discredit shots from behind. Connally's wounds would almost prove shots from behind, that's my problem.
Can you give me any information on this problem.

LEFT TEMPORAL ENTRY

Handwritten report of Dr McClelland that President Kennedy died of a 'gunshot wound of the left temple'.
One of the physicians who attended Kennedy specified the left temporal wound was 'a small entry wound'.
There is very little mentioned about this in the books that I have read. There is a grassy area on the other side of the road which permits the possibility of shots from the left. There is talk also of triangulation of crossfire which is of course feasible because obviously the ar easiest shot for a single shooter in the bok depository was at kennedy as he travelled up Houston in full view. Then, even if he missed for first shot, he'd get another go as he turned into Elm St. Of course if there are only shooters from front that would also account for Kennedy not being hit on Houston.
Information on the left temporal entry would be appreciated.

I do hope this doesn't be put you to too much trouble, but I haven't got a great deal of avenues to go to here in Australia. People say to me, who cares about him, he's dead anyway. If we said that about every unsolved murder it would be a sad place indeed to live in. The Warren Commission was a waste of time from the start seeing as all the major evidence ie: photos and x-rays won't be released until the year 2038. In 1979 the HSCA found a possible conspiracy in the assassination, that's locked away until the year 2029. What's the point? The only point can be to protect the conspirators OF the crime of the century. I know it's now nearly 34 yrs ago, but I would be glad to see someone be convicted of conspiring to murder Kennedy. The only bloke who had the guts to fight the government was Jim Garrison who the government discredited to make him look like a fool. Yet in 1974 when Clay Shaw died of 'lung cancer', it was testified that he had worked for the CIA. Of course no autopsy was allowed, that's no big surprise. Witnesses are dying left right and centre and no-one can see the forest for the trees. I wasn't even born in 1963, but I believe one of the worst days in history would have to

be the 22ND of November 1963 at 12:30pm when Kennedy was undoubtedly murdered by his own men, the men who were paid to protect him, ultimately brought his life to an end to suit their own. All of the protective agencies must be held accountable in some way for the events of that day. The Secret Service certainly had a hand in it, if not two. The FBI under Hoover's guidance saw what they wanted to see and nothing else. Hosty actually believe that the events of NOV 22ND 1963 unfolded as the commission said it did. The Dallas Police couldn't keep the alleged murderer alive long enough to send him to trial. I would hope that someday someone at least will be held accountable for what transpired that day.
I hope that you can find the time to reply to this letter so I can gather a little more evidence of events that day and the days after.

Yours Sincerely

CHeritt

Cameron Heritt